

Pathways to international tax governance - Has the German G20 presidency made a difference?

Christian von Haldenwang & Jakob Schwab

6th DRM Workshop Bonn, 28 November, 2017

Why this paper?



- Global Tax Cooperation has been brought forward significantly in the last years
- G20 played and plays a major role
- Still many challenges...
 - prevail, not only, but also with respect to developing countries
 - have come up (Trump presidency, Brexit)

What drives changes in international tax governance?

Has the agenda moved forward under the German G20 presidency?

What drives international tax governance?



- Theories of tax competition
 - Used to explain general downward trend of tax rates on capital since the 1980s
 - Free riders undermine attempts to deepen cooperation
- Theories of tax cooperation
 - Facilitated by higher degrees of homogeneity and symmetric power distributions

 club governance structures
 - Facilitated by compensations (aid for DTAs)
- Theories of tax fairness and tax justice
 - Fairness is a frequent notion in international debates
 - Hurdles to norm diffusion and joint action are high

Areas for international tax governance



Three broad challenges to international taxation (following Hearson, 2017):

- 1. Tax avoidance by multinationals
- 2. Tax evasion by individuals
- 3. Tax competition

While the activities by G20/OECD have been tackling 1 and 2, there are no initiatives on 3

Tax Avoidance



G20/OECD BEPS Project

- > IF joined by 99 countries as of today
- ➤ MC-BEPS (Action Point 15) signed in June 2017 by 68 countries

Baden-Baden, March 2017:

- ➤ Interim report on the implications of digitalization for taxation was commissioned to be delivered by OECD's TFDE in 2018
- Tax certainty report by IMF & OECD welcomed

⇒ Mostly following up on previous initiatives, with some adjustments

Tax Evasion



AEoI/CRS

- ➤ First 50 countries commenced exchanges on basis of CRS in September 2017, 50 more in 2018
- Global Forum
 - stands at 146 members
 - was demanded to list non-compliant countries with transparency standards,
 were listed as partially or non-compliant
 - "defensive measures will be considered" by G20, but e.g. US, which not signed CRS, is still listed as largely compliant
- ⇒ No new initiatives, avoidance of conflict

What else?



- > Tax policies were included in Africa policies of G20:
 - Addis Tax Initiative conference held directly after G20 Africa Partnership Conference
 - Africa Academy for Tax and Financial Crime Investigation launched at same conference
- Platform for Collaboration on Tax (UN, IMF, WB, OECD) has extended its activities with regard to capacity development

⇒ All in line with extended focus on capacity development and mobilizing private investment flows in context of inclusion of developing countries

What's next?



- ➤ Key driver of international tax governance: spillovers created by mismatches of public service delivery and the payment of taxes
 → (lack of) fiscal equivalence
- ➤ Fiscal equivalence increases the chances for tax systems to reflect collective choices
- ➤ In a context of market globalization, a tax governance structure based primarily on national market regulations and bilateral agreements is evidently in conflict with the principle of fiscal equivalence

What's next?



- Internalization of spillovers requires a tax system able to provide at least three kinds of services:
 - A multilateral approach to the collection and sharing of tax-related information, to tackle information asymmetries
 - A common corporate tax base beyond non-binding "codes of conduct" to increase tax certainty and avoid spillovers arising from regulation gaps in cross-border transactions
 - A common system of standards and monitoring mechanisms on tax expenditure to fight moral hazard behaviour by individual jurisdictions



Thank you for your attention!