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Motivation

- Demand for “rigorous“ evidence (e.g. RCTs) leads to an increasing number of field research in low and middle-income countries
- Research projects are often based on a cooperation between international and local staff
- Due to relatively recent increase, lack of well-prepared and experienced researchers, institutions or ethics boards
- Ethical considerations have (if at all) only concerned study participants (special focus on “vulnerable” populations)
- However, the key principle of “doing no harm” should equally apply to the research staff (including local and international staff at all different hierarchical positions within a research project)
Potential Ethical Challenges

- Racial and cultural frictions among research staff
- Physical safety of research staff in fragile settings
- Unstable working conditions for local research staff including absence of appropriate insurances
- Socioeconomic inequality within internationally composed research teams (neo-colonial appeal)
- Exposure to participants’ traumatic experiences makes researchers susceptible to secondary/ vicarious trauma, compassion fatigue, and job burnout
- Inadequate acknowledgement of local research contributors
Project Components

1. Systematic Literature Review
2. Qualitative Interviews
3. Quantitative Survey
4. Review of Guidelines
Systematic Literature Review

Aim

- Identifying existing research literature that documents the ethical challenges faced by research staff conducting field research in LMICs
- Identifying potential alleviation strategies based on this literature

Search strategy

- Bibliographic databases (e.g., 3ie Impact Evaluation Repository, Web of Sciences etc.) using a detailed search string
- Including published literature across social science disciplines
- Including quantitative, qualitative, theoretical, and normative work
- Including relevant unpublished papers based on key workshops and hand searches of reference lists

PROSPERO Study Registry
Preliminary Results: Included Studies

- 19,700 titles identified and 338 included for full text review
- Characteristics of included studies (based on the first 10%)
  - Disciplinary belonging: 36% in *global health*, 20% in *conflict/disaster studies* - only 5% in *development studies*
  - Subjects of ethical assessment: in almost 90% of studies researchers on higher hierarchical levels (i.e. PI/PhD)
  - Background empirical methods: strongly dominated by those researchers using participatory / qualitative research methods; no quantitative studies
Preliminary Results: Identified Ethical Challenges

- Physical safety of research staff, particularly in fragile and (post) conflict contexts
- Vicarious trauma and emotional distress (with potential negative effects on data quality)
- Feelings of guilt and helplessness
- Role conflicts between objective researcher and continuous relationship with study population
- Inadequate acknowledgement of local research contribution
- Inadequate remuneration of local research staff
Qualitative Interviews

Aim
- Adding to ethical challenges identified in systematic literature review (publication bias, selection bias (language, power structures) there is likely an underrepresentation of challenges experienced by certain countries and positions)
- Focus on ethical challenges before, during and after research
- Investigate best practices and suggestions for potential safeguards

Method and Sample
- Snowballing technique
- Personal or via phone/skype, some focus groups (particularly in LMIC)
- About 40 semi-structured interviews with research staff
  - Different positions, incl. PhD students, enumerators, translators, principal investigators, project managers
  - Different institutions, incl. lead institutions, research institutions, consulting firms, implementing agencies, funding agencies, survey companies
- Data transcription and coding (thematic analysis)
Preliminary Results: Frequent Ethical Challenges

- Working conditions in terms of breaks, overhours and payment
- Gender inequalities and sexual harassment
- Physical integrity (traffic, conflict, diseases)
- Acknowledgement of research contributions
- Emotional distress (research with children, refugees etc.)
- Conflict between local identity and participation in an international research team
- International agencies often have limited understanding or interest of ethical challenges
Aim

- Gaining a more differentiated (non-representative) picture of ethical challenges within a larger sample size
- Collecting quantifiable information (i.e. x% of research staff show anxiety, have experienced harassment)
- Determining who is most affected/most at risk: collecting information on respondents’ sociodemographic background, country of origin, gender, age, and hierarchical position within the research project, information on funding body

Method & Sample

- For most part an online survey, distributed via mailing lists engaging participants of qualitative research as multipliers
- Sample is aimed to cover different positions, world regions, and individual characteristics
- To be implemented end 2019
Aim

- Identify existing guidelines to judge whether challenges are addressed
- “Supply”-side information to compare to “demand”-side need (challenges identified in components 1-3)

Method

- Development of google search string
- Google-based search
- Hand-search of particular (lead) institutions identified across different world regions and working areas
- Analyse challenges addressed in guidelines and compare to those identified in components 1-3
Preliminary Results: Guidelines' Content

- Overall very few existing guidelines that address ethical challenges for research staff
- Majority from anglosaxon countries and universities
- Strong focus on physical well-being (conflict, diseases, harassment)
- Some very recent initiatives (e.g. SAFEResearch, Swiss Academy of Sciences) that also focus on challenges for staff conducting research in low- and middle income settings) and aim at providing handbooks
Overarching Project Goals

- Compilation of ethical challenges mentioned in literature & qualitative/quantitative interviews
- Increasing understanding of the prevalence of these challenges across positions, institutions, and world regions
- Sensitizing the development studies (and beyond) research community to start thinking about and discussing potential ethical challenges before, during and after research in low and middle-income countries
- Flagging the existing gap between the ethical challenges experienced and those addressed in guidelines/safeguarding principles
- Developing principles that can be integrated into e.g. existing guidelines or curricula to improve the ethical conditions for research staff across the world
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